

# Practice Quiz

CSCI 2210

2023-11-15

# Elaborating Lambda Terms

Elaborate the following  $\lambda$ -term making explicit the implied parentheses and expanding multiple variable bindings into successive single bindings:

$$\lambda x y z . x z (y z)$$

# Variable Binding

Annotate the binding structure of the following  $\lambda$ -term by drawing an arrow from each bound occurrence of a variable to its binding site.

$$(\lambda x . (\lambda x . x) x ((\lambda x . x) x)) x$$

# Bound Variable Renaming

The *Barendregt convention* on bound variables is to use  $\alpha$ -equivalence to rename them such that:

- bound variable names are distinct from free variable names,
- a bound variable name is used at only a single binding site within a term.

This way it is easy to tell whether a variable occurrence is bound, and if so, where.

Apply the Barendregt convention to the term,

$$(\lambda x . (\lambda x . x) x ((\lambda x . x) x)) x$$

# Beta-Reduction of Terms

$\beta$ -reduce the following  $\lambda$ -terms to their normal forms, if they have them, if not briefly explain why not.

- $(\lambda x y . x) (\lambda x . y) x$
- $(\lambda x y . y) ((\lambda x . x x) (\lambda x . x x)) z$

# Solving Fixed Point Equations

Recall that the **Y-combinator** gives a *fixed point* of any  $\lambda$ -term.

$$Y = \lambda f . (\lambda x . f (x x)) (\lambda x . f (x x)).$$

Here is a recursive definition of the addition function:

$$\text{add} = \lambda m . \lambda n . \text{is0 } m \ n (\text{succ } (\text{add } (\text{pred } m) \ n))$$

The pure  $\lambda$ -calculus does not directly support recursion, but it can be implemented using fixed points.

Rewrite the function `add` as a fixed point of a function `F` such that,

$$Y F \ 2 \ 3 \downarrow_{\beta} \ 5$$