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Thus elements of an identity type form undirected (bidirected) paths.
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Paths between types have computational content because we can transport structure along a them:
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Becuase HoTT's identity types are inductively defined by a single generator, Univalence is introduced as an axiom, breaking the computational interpretation.

In cubical type theories, identity types are not primitive, but defined in terms of path types.

Path types are types in a context containing a dimension variable.

These can be thought of as functions from a formal interval to a universe.

A path type in a universe relates the types at its two distinct endpoints.
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Elements of a type may be related by directed paths.

A universe of directed types should be a category as well.

A directed univalence principle should say something like:

$$
\left(\mathrm{A} \sim_{u} \mathrm{~B}\right) \simeq(\Sigma f: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \cdot \operatorname{pathy}(f))
$$

where pathy is a mere predicate on functions.

As in HoTT, each path has an underlying function.
We transport along a path by applying that function.

> Slogan: "all paths are transportable".
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We pursue this perspective on univalence to develop a framework for categorical models for type universes that is

- directed,
- parametric on the predicate for paths.

We assume that the interpretations of:

- types are categories (directed and 1-dimensional),
- functions are functors
- function homotopies are natural transformations.

We do this using double categories with connection structure.
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## Double Categories, Axiomatically

A double category $\mathbb{D}$ is a (weak) internal category in CAt.
and come equipped with unitor and associator natural isomorphisms

$$
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satisfying the triangle and pentagon equations
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A coherence theorem allows us to ignore and recover coherators [GP99].
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The (pro)arrow globes of a double category form a sub-double category, letting us use bicategory constructions [KS74] in double categories.
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(The " $-\cong-$ " accounts for boundary coherators.)

## Cotabulators

A cotabulator [GP17] for a double category $\mathbb{D}$ is a functor $\perp: \mathbb{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{0}$ such that $\perp \dashv \mathrm{U}$.

## Cotabulators

A cotabulator [GP17] for a double category $\mathbb{D}$ is a functor $\perp: \mathbb{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{0}$ such that $\perp \dashv \mathrm{U}$.
For proarrow $\mathrm{M}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and object C there is a natural bijection between squares from M to the identity proarrow on C and arrows from the cotabulator of M to C :

$$
\frac{\alpha: \mathbb{D}_{1}(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}))}{d: \mathbb{D}_{0}(\perp(\mathrm{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C})}
$$

## Cotabulators

A cotabulator [GP17] for a double category $\mathbb{D}$ is a functor $\perp: \mathbb{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{0}$ such that $\perp \dashv \mathrm{U}$.
For proarrow $\mathrm{M}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and object C there is a natural bijection between squares from M to the identity proarrow on C and arrows from the cotabulator of M to C :

$$
\frac{\alpha: \mathbb{D}_{1}(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}))}{\overline{d: \mathbb{D}_{0}(\perp(\mathrm{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C})}}
$$

The adjunction unit component at $M$ is a morphism $\eta \mathrm{M}: \mathbb{D}_{1}(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\perp \mathrm{M}))$ with the universal property:
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Companionship also respects globe composition structure (contravariantly).
There are ( 0,2 )-full sub-double categories of companionable arrow- and proarrow globes, which are equivalent as bicategories.
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Dual to companions, conjoints extend to globes, respect composition, and are essentially unique $(\check{f})$.
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## Companions, Conjoints and Adjoints

Any two of these structures determine the third:

For arrow adjunction $f \dashv g$, a proarrow M is companion to $f$ iff it is conjoint to $g$.

Gist:
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To compose $\mathrm{M}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathrm{N}: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we quotient by connected components of $\mathbb{B}$ using a coend:

$$
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$$

Composition is associative up to canonical isomorphism by the "Fubini theorem" for coends [Kel82].

## Represented Profunctors

A profunctor $\mathrm{M}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is:
covariantly represented by a functor $\mathrm{I}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ if $\mathrm{M}=\hat{\mathrm{I}}:=\mathbb{B}(\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{id})$,
contravariantly represented by a functor $\mathrm{J}: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ if $\mathrm{M}=\overline{\mathrm{J}}:=\mathbb{A}(\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \mathrm{J})$.
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In particular, the hom functor $\mathbb{A}(\underline{1} \rightarrow \underline{2}): \mathbb{A}^{\circ} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow$ SET is an identity profunctor $U: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

## Double Categories of Categories

To build a double category from categories, functors and profunctors we need a notion of square.
2-categories of categories have natural transformations as 2-dimensional cells, but these are of globular, not cubical, shape.

## Double Categories of Categories

To build a double category from categories, functors and profunctors we need a notion of square. 2-categories of categories have natural transformations as 2-dimensional cells, but these are of globular, not cubical, shape.

Using represented profunctors, we can globularize the boundaries of our inteded squares.
For functors $F: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $G: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and profunctors $M: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $N: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, a natural square $\alpha:{ }_{F}^{M} \diamond{ }_{N}^{G}$ is a morphism of profunctors, $\alpha: A \mathbb{C}(M \rightarrow \widehat{F} \odot N \odot \widetilde{G})$; i.e., a natural transformation $\alpha: M \rightarrow N\left(F^{1} \rightarrow G^{2}\right)$.


## Double Categories of Categories

To build a double category from categories, functors and profunctors we need a notion of square. 2-categories of categories have natural transformations as 2-dimensional cells, but these are of globular, not cubical, shape.

Using represented profunctors, we can globularize the boundaries of our inteded squares.
For functors $\mathrm{F}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathrm{G}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and profunctors $\mathrm{M}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{N}: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, a natural square $\alpha:{ }_{F}^{M} \diamond{ }_{N}^{G}$ is a morphism of profunctors, $\alpha: A \mathbb{C}(M \rightarrow \widehat{F} \odot N \odot \widetilde{G})$; i.e., a natural transformation $\alpha: M \rightarrow N\left(F^{1} \rightarrow G^{2}\right)$.



There is a double category of (small) categories, functors, profunctors, and natural squares [GP99], where companion/conjoint proarrows are covariantly/contravariantly represented profunctors [GP04].

## Collage Categories

The cotabulator of a profunctor $\mathrm{M}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is its collage [GP99], a category $\operatorname{Col} \mathrm{M}$ with:
objects the disjoint union of $\mathbb{A}$-objects and $\mathbb{B}$-objects,
arrows the disjoint union of $\mathbb{A}$-homomorphisms, $\mathbb{B}$-homomorphisms and M -heteromorphisms.
Identities and homomorphism compositions are inherited from $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$.
Mixed composition follows by M functoriality: for $a: \mathbb{A}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}\right), x: \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}), b: \mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$,
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objects the disjoint union of $\mathbb{A}$-objects and $\mathbb{B}$-objects,
arrows the disjoint union of $\mathbb{A}$-homomorphisms, $\mathbb{B}$-homomorphisms and M -heteromorphisms.
Identities and homomorphism compositions are inherited from $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$.
Mixed composition follows by M functoriality: for $a: \mathbb{A}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}\right), x: \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}), b: \mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
a \cdot x \cdot b:=\mathrm{M}(a \rightarrow b)(x): \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Col M is displayed over the interval category with $\mathbb{A}$ the fiber over $0, \mathbb{B}$ the fiber over 1 , and the heteromorphisms over $i$.
This follows from the universal property of the cotabulator:
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In the collage category of a covariantly represented profunctor, $\mathrm{Col} \widehat{\mathrm{F}}$, the morphisms $\operatorname{id}(\mathrm{FA}): \widehat{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{FA})$ are opcartesian for the display functor $\mathrm{D}: \operatorname{Col} \widehat{\mathrm{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{\square}$.

Thus the interval display functor is a Grothendieck opfibration.

This is equivalent to a pseudofunctor $\square \rightarrow$ CAT sending $i: \square(0 \rightarrow 1)$ to the representing functor $\mathrm{F}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$.
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## Paths as Profunctors

Start with a 2-category of categories, interpreting types, functions and function homotopies.
Select a (0,2)-full sub-2-category of companionable structure, whose arrows interpret functions corresponding to paths.

Form the free double category with connection squares for companionable arrows.
Directed paths in a universe $A \leadsto B$ are interpreted by proarrows $\llbracket \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathrm{B} \rrbracket$.

Every proarrow is companionable, so every path is transportable.
If $\llbracket \mathrm{P} \rrbracket=\widehat{\mathrm{F}}: \llbracket \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathrm{B} \rrbracket$ then $\llbracket \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{P} \rrbracket=\mathrm{F}: \llbracket \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathrm{B} \rrbracket$.

This gives us the following univalence-like principle for types A and B in a universe $\mathcal{U}$ :
directed paths in $\mathcal{U}$ from A to B

$$
\overbrace{(\mathrm{A} \leadsto \mathcal{u} \mathrm{~B})}^{\sim \underbrace{(f: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \mid \operatorname{comp} f)}_{\text {companionable functions from } \mathrm{A} \text { to } \mathrm{B}}}
$$
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## Contravariant Transportation

In HoTT we can transport both covariantly and contravariantly along any path.
But our directed setting gives us only covariant transportation.

In order to transport contravariantly along a path, the interval display of the corresponding profunctor must be a Grothendieck fibration.

This occurs precisely when the profunctor is contravariantly represented,
which happens when it has a covariantly represented left adjoint.
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We have filler squares:


These are universal, in the sense that any other fillers factor uniquely through them.
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In order to fill the following "cubical horn" we need a path corresponding to the function $g$ "backwards":


If $g$ is conjoinable then we can fill the square.

## Path Structures on Universes

By varying which double category arrows are companionable we can model type universes with various path structures.

## Path Structures on Universes

By varying which double category arrows are companionable we can model type universes with various path structures.

Some examples:
if only identity arrows are companionable then the universe is path-discrete,
$>$ if all arrows are companionable, then we have a directed path structure mirroring the function structure,

- if arrows of an adjoint equivalence are companionable, then all paths are bi-directional (because all companionable arrows then have companionable left adjoints).
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# Bonus Slides 

## Companion Uniqueness

## Theorem

If proarrows $\mathrm{M}_{0}, \mathrm{M}_{1}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ are each companion to arrow $f: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ then $\mathrm{M}_{0} \cong \mathrm{M}_{1}$.

## Proof.

For $\{i, j\}=\{0,1\}$, we have:


So the proarrow globes $\left\ulcorner f_{\cdot 1} \odot f_{\lrcorner 0}: \mathrm{M}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{1}\right.$ and $\left\ulcorner f_{\cdot 0} \odot f_{\lrcorner 1}: \mathrm{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{0}\right.$ form an isomorphism.

## Companion Compositionality

It is easily checked that the companion laws are satisfied with
and
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